IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

State of Texas, $et \ al.$,		
	Plaintiffs,))
v.	,) Case No. 1:18-cv-00068
United States of America, $et\ al.$,	, ,))
	Defendants.))
)

PLAINTIFF STATES' MOTION FOR SCHEDULING ORDER ON MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

In accordance with the Court's May 15, 2018 Order, Plaintiff States propose the following schedule regarding their pending motion for preliminary injunction.

As the Court is aware, on September 5, 2017, the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") withdrew the 2012 DACA memorandum. Earlier this year, U.S. District Courts for the Northern District of California and the Eastern District of New York issued preliminary injunctions blocking in part the 2017 rescission and mandating that DHS continue to accept DACA renewal applications. *Regents of Univ. of Cal. v. U.S. Dep't of Homeland Sec.*, 279 F. Supp. 3d 1011, 1048 (N.D. Cal. 2018); *Vidal v. Nielsen*, 279 F. Supp. 3d 401, 437 (E.D.N.Y. 2018). Those courts did not order DHS to accept new DACA applications or new applications for advance parole.

The U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia then granted summary judgment in a challenge to the 2017 executive action and vacated entirely DHS's rescission of the 2012 DACA memorandum. *NAACP v. Trump*, No. 1:17-cv-1907, 2018

WL 1920079, at *1, *28 (D.D.C. Apr. 24, 2018). The Court stayed the effect of its vacatur until July 23, 2018. *Id.* As of that date, DHS will not only continue to accept DACA renewal applications (the subject of the two preliminary injunctions), but it will begin processing new DACA applications as well as new applications for advance parole. Plaintiff States have thus requested a resolution of their motion for preliminary injunction by July 23, 2018. *See* Mt. for Prelim. Inj. 4.

Plaintiff States respectfully request an order from this Court on their motion for preliminary injunction by the end of June. A decision by that date will afford all parties the option to seek expedited yet orderly appellate review before DACA comes back into full force and effect.

To facilitate that request, Plaintiff States do not wish to delay by much the deadline to respond to the motion for preliminary injunction, and Plaintiff States are willing to shorten the deadline for their reply. Currently, Defendants' and Intervenors' responses to the motion for preliminary injunction are due by May 23, 2018. Plaintiff States wish to adhere to the briefing schedule set by the rules of this Court with only a slight modification. Plaintiff States propose the following:

- May 25, 2018 Defendants and Intervenors shall file their responses;
- May 29, 2018 Plaintiff States shall file their reply; and
- May 30, 2018, or shortly thereafter The Court conducts a hearing on the merits of the motion.

Defendants do not oppose this proposed schedule. Intervenors have initially indicated that they do not agree, but Intervenors have yet to provide an alternative scheduling proposal.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiff States respectfully request that the Court enter the proposed schedule as outlined above to allow for the expedited yet orderly resolution of this matter before DACA becomes fully operational again on July 23, 2018.

Respectfully submitted.

STEVE MARSHALL

Attorney General of Alabama

LESLIE RUTLEDGE

Attorney General of Arkansas

JEFF LANDRY

Attorney General of Louisiana

DOUGLAS J. PETERSON

Attorney General of Nebraska

ALAN WILSON

Attorney General of South Carolina

PATRICK MORRISEY

Attorney General of West Virginia

KEN PAXTON

Attorney General of Texas

JEFFREY C. MATEER

First Assistant Attorney General

BRANTLEY STARR

Deputy First Assistant Attorney General

JAMES E. DAVIS

Deputy Attorney General for Civil Litigation

/s/ Todd Lawrence Disher

TODD LAWRENCE DISHER

Attorney-in-Charge

Special Counsel for Civil Litigation

Tx. State Bar No. 24081854

Southern District of Texas No. 2985472

Tel.: (512) 463-2100; Fax: (512) 936-0545

todd.disher@oag.texas.gov

P.O. Box 12548

Austin, Texas 78711-2548

ADAM ARTHUR BIGGS

Special Counsel for Civil Litigation

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF STATES

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I certify that I have conferred with counsel for Defendants and Intervenors regarding the requested relief herein. Defendants do not oppose this proposed schedule. Intervenors have initially indicated that they do not agree. As of this filing, Intervenors have not provided an alternative scheduling proposal.

<u>/s/ Todd Lawrence Disher</u> TODD LAWRENCE DISHER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on May 21, 2018, this document was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ Todd Lawrence Disher
TODD LAWRENCE DISHER
Special Counsel for Civil Litigation

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF STATES

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

State of Texas, $et \ al.$,)		
	Plaintiffs,)		
v.) Case No. 1:18-cv-00068		
United States of America, et al.,)		
D) Defendants.))		
SCHEDULING ORDER ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUCTION			
IT IS ORDERED that:			
Responses from Defendants and	Intervenors to Plaintiffs' motion for		
preliminary injunction are due May 25, 201	18;		
Plaintiffs' reply in support of Plaint	iffs' motion for preliminary injunction is		
due May 29, 2018; and			
The Court will hold a hearing on Pla	nintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction		
on May 30, 2018.			
SIGNED on this the day of M	ay, 2018.		
	Hon. Andrew S. Hanen,		
	U.S. District Court Judge		